1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 11 PATRICK GETTY and JOY GETTY, No. C98-0178WD husband and wife, and their 12 marital community; HERBERT STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT HERRINGTON and JEAN HERRINGTON, husband and wife, 13 and their marital community; DAVID FELT and PAMELA FELT, husband and wife, and their 15 marital community, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly 16 situated, 17 Plaintiffs, 18 vs. 19 PHILIP STEVEN HARMON and JEWELL HARMON, husband and 20 wife, and their marital community; PHILIP E. HARMON & 21 ASSOCIATES, INC., a Washington corporation; SUNAMERICA 22 SECURITIES, INC., a Delaware corporation; J. JERRY MERCHANT 23 and JANE DOE MERCHANT, husband and wife, and their 24 marital community, GARY W. KRAT and JANE DOE KRAT, 25 husband and wife, and their marital community, 26 Defendants. 27

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

The parties ("Parties") to this stipulation of settlement ("Settlement Stipulation") consist of Plaintiffs PATRICK GETTY, JOY GETTY, HERBERT HERRINGTON, JEAN HERRINGTON, DAVID FELT and PAMELA FELT, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants SUNAMERICA SECURITIES, INC., J. JERRY MERCHANT and GARY W. KRAT (sometimes hereinafter jointly referred to as "SAS"). The Parties enter into this Settlement Stipulation on the terms and conditions set forth below, and subject to the approval of the Federal Court ("Court") presiding over the action of Getty et al. v. Phillip Steven Harmon, et al, Cause No. C98-0178WD ("Action") of the proposed settlement ("Proposed Settlement").

SECTION ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 On February 8, 1998, Plaintiffs filed the Action against SAS in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle. The Action alleges causes of action for (1) violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, (2) violation of Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, (3) violation of RCW 21.20.010 and 21.20.430(1), (4) violation of RCW 21.20.140 and 21.20.430(1), (5) violation of RCW 21.20.430(3), (6) fraud, (7) conversion, (8) breach of contract, (9) violation of Washington's Consumer Protection Act, and (10) negligent hiring, training and supervision. The Action seeks monetary damages on behalf of a class of plaintiffs who purchased unregistered securities in the form of promissory notes issued by Island Mortgage Company, Northwestern Investment Company, and National Friends Investments through Steve Harmon, Phillip Harmon, Harmon & Associates, or one of their associates from April 1987 to April 1997 inclusive ("Class Period").

- 1.3 On July 9, 1998, Plaintiffs filed a motion to certify a class consisting of individuals who had purchased unregistered securities in the form of promissory notes issued by Island Mortgage Company, Northwestern Investment Company, and National Friends Investments through Steve Harmon, Phillip Harmon, Harmon & Associates, or one of their associates from April 1987 to April 1997 inclusive. SAS objected to this certification of a class. On October 23, 1998, the Court certified a class of individuals who had purchased unregistered securities in the form of promissory notes issued by Island Mortgage Company, Northwestern Investment Company, and National Friends Investments through Steve Harmon, Phillip Harmon, Harmon & Associates, or one of their associates from April 1987 to April 1997 inclusive ("Class"), and appointed Patrick Getty, Joy Getty, Herbert Herrington, Jean Herrington, David Felt and Pamela Felt as class representatives ("Class Representatives") and the law firm of Keller, Rohrback L.L.P. as class counsel ("Class Counsel").
- 1.4 On or about December 18, 1998, Plaintiffs filed the Declaration of Nancy D. Slocum affirming that notice to the Class in the manner mandated by the order certifying the Class had been made. A total of 21 potential class members chose to be excluded from the Class and the Action.
- 1.5 Discovery has been conducted by and between SAS and Plaintiffs. Class Counsel has thoroughly investigated and analyzed the facts and circumstances relevant to the claims made in the Action including all information produced by SAS. Class Counsel has reviewed approximately 600 boxes of

documents produced by numerous parties which detail the facts surrounding the claims set forth in the Action. Class Counsel and investigators working for Class Counsel have met with and interviewed dozens of witnesses with knowledge concerning the claims made in the Action. Ten substantive depositions have been taken. To assist in the analysis of the Action, Class Counsel has retained and consulted with expert accountants, securities lawyers and consultants. Class Counsel has also engaged in extensive discussion with SAS counsel concerning the conduct alleged in the Action, the data produced by SAS, and SAS's defenses. In addition, numerous motions have been filed by SAS and responded to by Class Counsel including a motion for summary adjudication on control person liability and a motion for summary adjudication based on the statute of limitations.

- 1.6 Class Counsel has held numerous discussions and meetings with the Class Representatives concerning the claims and defenses in the Action and Class Counsel's investigation and analysis thereof.
- 1.7 SAS's counsel has also thoroughly investigated the facts and circumstances relevant to the Action. SAS's counsel has also retained experts to assist them in their evaluation and defense of the claims made in the Action.
- 1.8 The Parties agreed to conduct a mediation before Retired Judge Terrence Carroll in the hopes of reaching a settlement of the claims made against SAS in the Action. After several mediation sessions and numerous communications with Judge Carroll, the terms of this Settlement Stipulation were negotiated by the Parties.
- 1.9 In evaluating the Proposed Settlement set forth in the Settlement Stipulation, Class Representatives and Class Counsel have considered the expense and length of time necessary to prosecute the claims in the Action through trial and likely appeal, the claims asserted by the Class, the logistic complexities involved in resolving such claims, and the defenses asserted by and available to SAS. The significant risk, expense, and uncertainty in complex class actions such as this

1	Action, the fact that this Court's final resolution of the claims and defenses asserted
2	in the Action whenever and however determined would likely be appealed with
3	substantial delays and attendant risk from years of protracted litigation, the
4	benefits obtained from the Proposed Settlement by the Class with Steve Harmon
5	and the substantial benefits provided pursuant to this Settlement Stipulation were
6	all considered. Based on these and other considerations, the Class Representatives
7	and Class Counsel have concluded without conceding any lack of merit of their
8	claims asserted in the Action that it is in the best interest of the Class Members to
9	compromise and settle fully and finally all claims against SAS in the Action without
10	further adversarial proceedings or any judicial determination of the merits of any of
11	the individual or putative class claims or defenses in the Action.

1.10 Class Counsel affirmatively believes that the Proposed Settlement set forth in the Settlement Stipulation provides for a fair, efficient and expeditious resolution of all claims asserted by the Class in the Action and provides significant benefits to the members of the Class.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated, consented to and agreed by the Parties, that the Action be settled, compromised and dismissed on the merits with prejudice against SAS, Merchant and Krat subject to this Court's approval of the Proposed Settlement in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth below.

SECTION TWO

JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over the Parties hereto.

SECTION THREE

NO-ADMISSION, NO-DETERMINATION

3.1 This Settlement Stipulation does not and is not intended to constitute and shall not be deemed to constitute an admission by the Parties as to

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

///

the merits, validity or accuracy of any of the allegations, claims or defenses in the Action. By entering into this Settlement Stipulation, SAS does not admit or concede, expressly or impliedly, but continues to deny that SAS has in any way damaged the members of the Class.

- 3.2 Except for its written rulings on motions filed by the Parties, the Court has made no findings and has expressed no opinion concerning the merits, validity, or accuracy of the allegations, claims or defenses in the Action.
- 3.3 Nothing in the Settlement Stipulation or the annexed Exhibits, nor any action taken in implementation thereof, nor any statements, discussions or communications, nor any materials prepared, exchanged, issued or used, during the course of the mediation or negotiations leading to the Settlement Stipulation, is intended by the Parties to, nor shall any of the foregoing constitute, be introduced, be used, or be admissible in any way in the Action or any other judicial, arbitral, administrative, investigative or other proceeding of whatsoever kind or nature. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Settlement Stipulation may be used in the proceedings in this Court to enforce or implement this Settlement Stipulation or any orders or judgments of the Court entered into in connection therewith.

SECTION FOUR

COMPENSATION TO CLASS

The Class shall receive from SAS a cash payment of Five Million Nine Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$5,900,000) ("Settlement Fund") inclusive of all attorneys fees and costs to be awarded Class Counsel. The Settlement Fund will be placed on deposit in an interest bearing account with the law offices of Williams, Kastner & Gibbs within five (5) business days of the Court issuing its Preliminary Approval Order provided for in Section 5. The Settlement Fund and all earned interest shall be paid to Class Counsel within three (3) days after the Effective Date as defined in Section 7 of the Settlement Stipulation.

SECTION FIVE

PROCEDURE FOR CLASS NOTICE AND COURT APPROVAL

5.1	Preliminary	Approval	Order

	5.1(1)	The Parties shall propos	se to the Court an order
preliminarily ap	proving the F	Proposed Settlement subst	antially in the form annexed
hereto as Exhib	it 1 (the "Prel	liminary Approval Order").	If the Proposed Settlement is
terminated or fa	ils to become	e effective for any reason, t	the Parties' respective rights
in this Action or	any other ca	ase shall not be prejudiced	l in any way by this
Settlement Stipu	ılation or the	e Proposed Settlement.	

5.1(2) Subject to the approval of the Court, the Preliminary Approval order shall provide, among other things, for:

5.1(2)(a) preliminary approval of the Proposed
Settlement for purposes of giving notice to the members of the Class of the terms of the Settlement Stipulation;

5.1(2)(b) a hearing before the Court ("Fairness Hearing") to consider and determine whether to issue a final order and judgment ("Final Order and Judgment") approving the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the Proposed Settlement under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and RCW 4.22;

5.1(2)(c) a notice of proposed settlement of class action and hearing thereon substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit 2 ("Class Notice") to be furnished to members of the Class, and authorizing Class Counsel, subject to the approval of the Federal Court, to retain such persons or entities as may be necessary to effectuate the Class Notice as provided in the Preliminary Approval Order;

5.1(2)(d) a prescribed period of time during which Class members may serve written objections to the Proposed Settlement upon the attorneys identified below and providing that all such objections must specify with particularity the basis of such objections:

.13

1	Keller Rohrback. Attn. Mark Griffin
2	1201 3 rd Avenue, Suite 3200
3	Seattle, Washington 98101-3052
4	Williams, Kastner & Gibbs. Attn. P. Arley Harrel
5	601 Union Street, Suite 4100
6	Seattle, Washington 98101
7	5.1(2)(e) an approved method of distributing the Class
8	Notice to the members of the Class; and
9	5.1(2)(f) such other terms as the Court may deem
10	necessary or appropriate under the circumstances necessary to comply with Federal
11	Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 23(e).
12	5.2 <u>Final Judgment</u>
13	5.2(1) If the Court approves the Proposed Settlement after
14	the Fairness Hearing, the Parties shall submit to the Court a proposed Final Order
15	and Judgment ("Final Order and Judgment") for entry in the Action substantially in
16	the form annexed as Exhibit 3 which shall provide among other things, for:
17	5.2(1)(a) approval of the Proposed Settlement and
18	adjudging the Proposed Settlement to be fair, reasonable and adequate under
19	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and RCW 4.22, and directing execution and
20	implementation of all the Proposed Settlement's terms and provisions, and retaining
21	jurisdiction for such purposes;
22	5.2(1)(b) a decree that neither this Settlement
23	Stipulation nor the Final Order and Judgment nor any communication or action by
24	the Parties in connection with the Settlement Stipulation constitutes or shall be
25	deemed to constitute an admission by SAS of any liability or wrongdoing
26	whatsoever, or a finding by the Court as to the merits of any claim or defense
27	asserted or that could have been asserted in the Action, or of any wrongdoing by

SAS; and further decreeing that neither this Settlement Stipulation nor the Final

Order and Judgment is or shall be used or deemed to be an admission in any action or proceeding or an admission of any fault, liability or wrongdoing by any person or entity; and that neither this Settlement Stipulation, nor the negotiations and proceedings related thereto, nor the Final Order and Judgment, nor any related document or communication, shall be offered or received in evidence as an admission, concession, presumption or inference against any person or entity in any action or proceeding provided, however, that this Settlement Stipulation may be received in evidence in any proceeding in the Court as may be solely necessary to consummate or enforce this Settlement Stipulation or the Final Order and Judgment;

5.2(1)(c) dismissal of the Action with prejudice against SunAmerica Securities, Inc., Merchant and Krat, and without costs to the Class Representatives and members of the Class or SunAmerica Securities, Inc., Merchant and Krat except as otherwise expressly provided in the Final Order and Judgment;

5.2(1)(d) an order adjudging that all members of the Class who have not duly requested exclusion therefrom shall, upon the satisfaction of the conditions set forth in this Settlement Stipulation, conclusively be deemed to have fully, finally and irrevocably waived, released and discharged their claims against SunAmerica Securities, Inc., Merchant and Krat and their past and present directors, employees, agents, financial consultants, representatives, parents, predecessors, successors, affiliates, subsidiaries, assigns, auditors, attorneys, insurers, and reinsurers, including without limitation, the following: Linda S. Collier (SunAmerica Inc.'s Office of Supervisory Jurisdiction) and her marital community; and Southmark Financial Services, Inc. and its parent, successors, affiliates, subsidiaries, employees, officers, directors, agents or representatives or any person or firm acting in concert with it or them; and that the Proposed Settlement fully and completely compensates the Class for any damages as

1	described in the Action which might have been suffered as a result of the claims
2	made against SunAmerica Securities, Inc., Merchant and Krat in the Action;
3	however, this order shall not release any claims which SunAmerica Securities, Inc.,
4	Merchant and Krat have against Phillip Steven Harmon, Phillip E. Harmon &
5	Associates, Inc., and Phillip E. Harmon and any and all individuals and entities
6	engaged in the acts or omissions giving rise to this Action or release any claims that
7	the Class Members have against John Tollefson, Robert Kaye, Tollefson & Company
8	Douglas Hawthorne, and Hawthorne & Company, and their past and present
9	directors, employees, agents, financial consultants, representatives, parents,
10	predecessors, successors, affiliates, subsidiaries, assigns, auditors, attorneys,
11	insurers, and reinsurers.
12	5.2(1)(e) an order directing that the Class
13	Representatives each execute and deliver to SunAmerica Securities, Inc., Merchant
14	and Krat a release ("Release") substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit 4
15	and decreeing that any Class Representative's failure to execute and deliver such a
16	Release to SunAmerica Securities, Inc., Merchant and Krat does not diminish,

reduce or otherwise affect the Final Order and Judgment in accordance with paragraph 5.2(1)(d) of this Settlement Stipulation that all members of the Class have fully, finally and irrevocably released and discharged SunAmerica Securities. Inc., Merchant and Krat to the extent provided in this Settlement Stipulation;

5.2(1)(f)an order adjudging that the Class Notice fully and accurately informed the members of the Class of all material elements of this Action and the Proposed Settlement, and constituted valid, due and sufficient notice to all members of the Class in all respects, complying fully with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the requirements of due process;

5.2(1)(g)that if this Settlement Stipulation is terminated or fails to become effective for any reason, then the Parties, including without limitation all members of the Class, shall be restored to their respective status

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	existing prior to the date of the execution of this settlement supulation; and	
2	5.2(1)(h) a reservation of jurisdiction over the	
3	consummation, performance, administration, effectuation and enforcement of the	
4	Proposed Settlement and the Final Order and Judgment.	
5	5.3 Within 30 days after the Court enters the Final Order and	
6	Judgment, Class Counsel shall send to all members of the Class by first class mail	
7	a copy of the Final Order and Judgment. Along with the Final Order and	
8	Judgment, Class Counsel shall send the order, if any, entered by the Court,	
9	awarding attorneys' fees and reimbursing expenses.	
10	SECTION SIX	
11	CLASS COUNSEL'S ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES	
12	As set forth in the Class Notice and conditioned upon the occurrence of	
13	the Effective Date (as defined in Section 7.1), Class Counsel shall apply for a fee of	
14	25% of the Settlement Fund to be considered by the Court at the Fairness Hearing.	
15	SAS will not oppose this fee application.	
16	SECTION SEVEN	
17	CONDITIONS	
18	7.1 This Settlement Stipulation shall become effective, and the	
19	Effective Date shall occur (as defined in Paragraph 7.2), only if all of the following	
20	conditions are satisfied:	
21	7.1(1) This Settlement Stipulation shall have been executed	
22	by SAS and by PATRICK GETTY, JOY GETTY, HERBERT HERRINGTON, JEAN	
23	HERRINGTON, DAVID FELT and PAMELA FELT, individually, and as Class	
24	Representatives.	
25	7.1(2) The Final Order and Judgment of the Court in the	
26	Action shall have become "Final" as defined in Section 7.1(4) and 7.1(5) of this	
27	Settlement Stipulation.	
28	7.1(3) This Settlement Stipulation has not been terminated	

- 7.1(4) Notwithstanding the foregoing, the lack of an order, final or otherwise, relating to Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and/or costs pursuant to Section 6 of this Settlement Stipulation shall not prevent the Final Order and Judgment from being deemed "Final."
 - 7.2 The Effective Date shall be defined as follows:
- 7.2(1) If an appeal is not taken and review is not sought by any person or entity of the Final Order and Judgment, the day after the entry of the Final Order and Judgment; or
- Order and Judgment, the fifth business day after the mandate issues or the appeal or other petition for review is dismissed or subsequent appellate or review proceedings concluded, provided that, if the Final Order and Judgment is modified on appeal or other petition for review, said modification is on a basis which is consistent with the provisions of this Settlement Stipulation and which does not impose any obligations greater than those set forth in this Settlement Stipulation, and the time to seek further appellate review of such Final Order and Judgment has expired.
- 7.3 If this Settlement Agreement does not become effective for any reason or is terminated pursuant to Section 8 of this Settlement Stipulation, this Settlement Stipulation shall be deemed null and void and of no force or effect, and the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions existing prior to the date of this Settlement Stipulation.

SECTION EIGHT

TERMINATION OF THE SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

- 8.1 This Settlement Stipulation may be terminated in any of the following circumstances:
 - 8.1(1) In the event that the Court declines to approve this

Proposed Settlement unless the Parties make substantial modifications, then either of the Parties shall have the right to withdraw from this Settlement Stipulation and this Settlement Stipulation shall thereupon be deemed terminated, provided that the Party seeking to exercise such right of withdrawal does so through written notice to the other Party, not later than 20 days after the Court advises the Parties of the substantial modifications it requires, and provided further, that neither the Class Representatives nor the Class shall have any right to withdraw from this Settlement Stipulation if the Court declines to award Class Counsel attorneys' fees or costs, or if the Court awards Class Counsel a lesser amount of attorneys' fees and costs than the amounts applied for, pursuant to Sections 6 of this Settlement Stipulation.

SECTION NINE

MISCELLANEOUS

- 9.1 This Settlement Stipulation, including the exhibits hereto, constitute the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, supersedes any and all prior agreements or understandings relating to such subject matter, and may not be modified except as provided in this Settlement Stipulation.
- 9.2 The Parties acknowledge that they have been represented by legal counsel of their own choice, respectively, throughout the Action and in the negotiation and joint preparation of this Settlement Stipulation, that they have received advice from their legal counsel in connection with this Settlement Stipulation and are fully aware of this Settlement Stipulation's provisions and legal effect, that all agreements and understandings between the Parties are embodied and expressed in this Settlement Stipulation, and that each of the Parties enters in to this Settlement Stipulation freely, without coercion, and based on each of the Parties' own judgment and not in reliance upon any representations or promises made by any of the other Parties, apart from those expressly set forth in this

- 9.3 If it is determined that any provision of this Settlement Stipulation is uncertain or ambiguous, the language in all parts of this Settlement Stipulation shall be in all cases construed as a whole according to its fair meaning and not strictly construed for nor against either party.
- 9.4 This Settlement Stipulation and any of the exhibits hereto may be amended only by a written instrument executed on behalf of the Parties, subject to the consent of the Court, and without further notice to the members of the Class, unless the court requires such notice.
- 9.5 The headings in this Settlement Stipulation are included for convenient reference only and shall not affect in any way the meaning or interpretation of this Settlement Stipulation, and do not themselves constitute terms or provisions of this Settlement Stipulation.
- 9.6 This Settlement Stipulation may be executed in one or more actual or telecopied counterparts, all of which together shall be considered one and the same instrument and all of which shall be considered duplicate originals.
- 9.7 The Parties agree to use their best efforts to obtain all approvals necessary and to do all things necessary or appropriate to effectuate this Settlement Stipulation according to the terms hereof, including without limitation the execution of all exhibits or related documents as soon as possible if such execution is necessary, and counsel for the Parties are expressly authorized to amend, change or modify this Settlement Stipulation and the attached exhibits to the extent such counsel deem appropriate, provided, however, that such changes must be agreed to by counsel for the Parties in writing and approved by the Court.
- 9.8 This Settlement Stipulation and all exhibits hereto and all related documents shall be governed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of Washington.
 - 9.9 In the event of any dispute or disagreement with respect to the

 meaning, effect or interpretation of this Settlement Stipulation or any exhibit hereto, or in the event of a claimed breach of the Settlement Stipulation or any Exhibit attached thereto, the Parties agree that such dispute will be mediated before Retired Judge Terrence Carroll, then presented to the Court, unless otherwise provided in this Settlement Stipulation. The Court shall award costs and reasonable attorneys' fees to the prevailing party. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over all matters related to this Settlement Stipulation for purposes of administering, effectuating and enforcing the Proposed Settlement and resolving any dispute under this Settlement Stipulation.

9.10 Whenever possible, each provision and term of this Settlement Stipulation shall be interpreted in such a manner as to be valid and enforceable. In the event that any provision or terms should be judicially determined to be or is rendered invalid or unenforceable, all other provisions shall remain unaffected to the extent permitted by law and to the extent the purpose and intent of the Proposed Settlement is not materially affected. In such case this Settlement Stipulation shall be handled in accordance with Section 9.9.

9.11 Nothing in this Settlement Stipulation is intended to confer a benefit on any individual or entity not a party hereto. SunAmerica Securities, Inc. and Krat specifically reserve their rights and claims against Philip Steven Harmon, Philip E. Harmon & Associates, Inc., and Philip E. Harmon and any and all other individuals and entities engaged in the acts or omissions giving rise to this Action. Plaintiffs specifically reserve their rights and claims against John Tollefson, Tollefson & Company, Douglas Hawthorne, and Hawthorne & Company.

9.12 Unless otherwise stated, singular terms used in this Settlement Stipulation shall be deemed to include the plural, and plural terms shall be deemed to include the singular.

///

1 2	DATED:	June <u>19</u> , 1999.	PATRICK GETTY PATRICK GETTY
3 4 5	DATED:	June <u>/ </u>	JOY GETTY JUST GETTY
6 7	DATED:	June, 1999.	HERBERT HERRINGTON
8 9 10	DATED:	June, 1999.	JEAN HERRINGTON
11 12	DATED:	June, 1999.	DAVID FELT
13 14 15	DATED:	June, 1999.	PAMELA FELT
16 17			SUNAMERICA SECURITIES, INC.
18 19 20	DATED:	June, 1999.	By: Title:
21 22	DATED:	June, 1999.	J. JERRY MERCHANT
23 24 25	DATED:	June, 1999.	GARY W. KRAT
26 27		(SIGNATURES CON	TINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

1	DATED: June, 1999.	
2	DATED. Odile, 1999.	PATRICK GETTY
3		
4	DATED: June, 1999.	JOY GETTY
5		JOY GETTY
6	DATED: June <u>13</u> , 1999.	Hendrest He Minister
7	DiffED. Guile 1,2, 1999.	HERBERT HERRINGTON
8		1 1 1
9	DATED: June <u>13</u> , 1999.	Jean Levington JEAN HERRINGTON
10		JEAN HERRINGTYJN
11	DATED: June, 1999.	
12	DATED: Odile, 1939.	DAVID FELT
13		
14	DATED: June, 1999.	PAMELA FELT
15		TAMEDA PEDI
16		SUNAMERICA SECURITIES, INC.
17		20111112110112201111225, 11101
18	DATED: June, 1999.	
19		By: Title:
20		1100.
21	DATED: June, 1999.	
22		J. JERRY MERCHANT
23		
24	DATED: June, 1999.	GARY W. KRAT
25		GARI W. KIMI
26		
27	(SIGNATURES CON	TINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)
28		

1 2	DATED: June, 1999.	PATRICK GETTY
3 4 5	DATED: June, 1999.	JOY GETTY
6	DATED: June, 1999.	HERBERT HERRINGTON
8 9 10	DATED: June, 1999.	JEAN HERRINGTON
11 12	DATED: June <u>13</u> , 1999.	David L. Felt DAVID FELT
13 14 15	DATED: June <u>17,</u> 1999.	Pamela Felf PAMELA FELT
16 17		SUNAMERICA SECURITIES, INC.
18 19 20	DATED: June, 1999.	By: Title:
21 22	DATED: June, 1999.	J. JERRY MERCHANT
23 24 25	DATED: June, 1999.	GARY W. KRAT
26 27	(SIGNATURES CON	TINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

1	DATED: June, 1999.	
2		PATRICK GETTY
3		
4	DATED: June, 1999.	JOY GETTY
5		UOT GETTT
6	DATED: June, 1999.	
7	5.11.55. dano, 1555.	HERBERT HERRINGTON
8		
9	DATED: June, 1999.	JEAN HERRINGTON
10		JEAN HERMINGTON
11	DATED: June, 1999.	
12	Diff DD. 6 une, 1999.	DAVID FELT
13		
14	DATED: June, 1999.	DAMBIA PRIM
15		PAMELA FELT
16		SIINAMEDICA SECTIDITIES INC
17		SUNAMERICA SECURITIES, INC.
18	DATED: 1000	Spidnet no Sand
19	DATED: June <u>/ (c</u> , 1999.	By: Bridget M. Gaughan
20		Title: 5 R. V. F. General Counsel
21	DAMBB 1 1000	
22	DATED: June, 1999.	J. JERRY MERCHANT
23		
24	DATED: June <u>6</u> , 1999.	Saybkat
25		GARY W. KRAT
26		
27	(SIGNATURES CO	NTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)
28	,	,

1	DATED:	June, 1999.	
2			PATRICK GETTY
3			
4	DATED:	June, 1999.	JOY GETTY
5			
6	DATED:	June, 1999.	
7			HERBERT HERRINGTON
8			
9	DATED:	June, 1999.	JEAN HERRINGTON
10			
11	DATED:	June, 1999.	
12			DAVID FELT
13			
14	DATED:	June, 1999.	PAMELA FELT
15	<u> </u>		TAMEDA PEDI
16	: 		SUNAMERICA SECURITIES, INC.
17			
18	DATED	June, 1999.	
19		<u></u>	By: Title:
20			M. Adlh
21	DATED.	June <u>13^{TP}</u> , 1999.	JJWW WWW
22	DATED.	ounc <u>11</u> , 1999.	J. JERRY MERCHANT
23			•
24	DATED:	June, 1999.	GARY W. KRAT
25			GARI W. KRAI
26			
27		(SIGNATURES CON	TINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)
28			

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

•	
DATED: June <u>//</u> , 1999.	Marle a. Thirthi
	Keller Rohrback LLP Class Counsel
	,
DATED: June, 1999.	William Vastner & Cibbs DLIC
	William, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC As counsel for SunAmerica Securities, Inc.
DATED: June, 1999.	Johnson Martens Christie Andrews, P.S.
	As counsel for J. Jerry Merchant
DATED: June, 1999.	Keesal, Young & Logan
	Keesal, Young & Logan As counsel for Gary W. Krat
	DATED: June, 1999. DATED: June, 1999.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DATED: June ___, 1999. Keller Rohrback LLP Class Counsel DATED: June 17, 1999. William, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC As counsel for SunAmerica Securities, Inc. DATED: June ___, 1999. Johnson Martens Christie Andrews, P.S. As counsel for J. Jerry Merchant DATED: June 17, 1999. As counsel for Gary W. Krat

- 16 -

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2		
3	DATED: June, 1999.	
4		Keller Rohrback LLP Class Counsel
5		
6	DATED: June, 1999.	William Kastner & Cibba DI I C
7		William, Kastner & Gibbs PLLC As counsel for SunAmerica Securities, Inc.
8		ah
9	DATED: June 17 ⁷⁷ , 1999.	Johnson Martens Christie Andrews, P.S.
10		As counsel for J. Jerry Merchant
11		
12	DATED: June, 1999.	Keesal, Young & Logan
13		As counsel for Gary W. Krat
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		