

United States Court of Appeals
FIFTH CIRCUIT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE
CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700
600 S. MAESTRI PLACE,
Suite 115
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

August 19, 2022

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES LISTED BELOW:


No. 20-30739 Moore v. LaSalle Management
USDC No. 3:16-CV-1007

Enclosed is an order entered in this case.

See FRAP and Local Rules 41 for stay of the mandate.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk



By: _____
Allison G. Lopez, Deputy Clerk
504-310-7702

Mr. Blake Joseph Arcuri
Mr. Lance Casey Auttonberry
Ms. Leslie Andrea Bailey
Ms. Leslie Agnes Brueckner
Mr. Harry Bradford Calvit
Mr. Nelson Welch Cameron
Mr. Brandon Wade Creekbaum
Mr. Mark S. Davies
Mr. James Anglin Flynn
Mr. Richard Frankel
Mr. Craig Edmond Frosch
Ms. Melanie Hallums
Mr. John He
Mr. Joseph Raymond Kolker
Ms. Ellen L. Noble
Mr. Charles Bryan Racer
Mrs. Laura Cannizzaro Rodrigue
Mrs. Angie Sturdivant
Mr. Samuel Weiss
Mr. Walter Rimmer Woodruff Jr.
Ms. Tiffany R. Wright

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

No. 20-30739

ERIE MOORE, JR.; TAMARA GREEN; TIFFANY ROBINSON,

Plaintiffs—Appellants,

versus

LASALLE MANAGEMENT COMPANY, L.L.C., *Incorrectly named as*
LASALLE CORRECTIONS L.L.C.; RAY HANSON; GERALD
HARDWELL; ROY BROWN; REGINALD WILLIAMS; KENNETH
HART; DANIELLE WALKER; DUAN ROSENTHAL; JEREMY
RUNNER; REGINALD CURLEY, *Incorrectly named as* REGINALD
CURLY; CITY OF MONROE; SHERIFF OF OUACHITA PARISH;
DONALD MURPHY; CHASE WELLS; TOMMY CROWSON, *Incorrectly*
named as Officer Crowson; WILLIAM MITCHELL, *Incorrectly named as*
Nurse MITCHELL; ALTON HALE; RICHWOOD CORRECTIONAL
CENTER, L.L.C.; ARCHIE AULTMAN, *Incorrectly named as*
AULTMAN,

Defendants—Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Louisiana
USDC No. 3:16-CV-1007

ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

Before HIGGINSON, WILLETT, and HO, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:

Treating the petition for rehearing en banc as a petition for panel rehearing (5TH CIR. R. 35 I.O.P.), the petition for panel rehearing is DENIED. Because no member of the panel or judge in regular active service requested that the court be polled on rehearing en banc (FED. R. APP. P. 35 and 5TH CIR. R. 35), the petition for rehearing en banc is DENIED.